Techniques for Genetic Analysis of Non Syndromal Cleft Lip/Palate: A Review
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Abstract

The formation of craniofacial structures during embryonic development involves various complex molecular pathways. Disturbances in these pathways either due to genetic or environmental factors may lead to orofacial clefts. Approximately two thirds of the cases are not accompanied by other anomalies and are called non syndromic. The etiology seems complex, but genetics plays a major role. With the advances in molecular biology and genetics such studies are more refined than ever before and various candidate genes have been associated with Non Syndromal cleft lip/palate in different populations. There are various techniques and models used by different investigators across the globe. In this review we intend to describe most common approaches towards genetic analysis of Non syndromic cleft and try to evaluate the merits and demerits of a particular approach.
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1. Introduction

Cleft lip/palate (CL/P) is one of the most common congenital anomaly in humans. Its global prevalence is estimated to be ranging from1/300 to 1/2500 births with racial and ethnic diversity.\(^1\) The etiology of CL/P is multifactorial involving both genetic and environmental factors, including a possible mutual interaction. Various environmental factors like alcohol intake, cigarette smoking and nutritional deficiencies have been implicated.\(^2\) Although environmental factors have shown to play a role, they however have shown a weak association and no single study is attributed to environmental factors as the sole etiology for non Syndromal cleft lip and palate (NSCLP).

Genetics of craniofacial development has undergone tremendous advances; numerous genetic pathways are shown to be involved in craniofacial development. There are many genes that have been linked with NSCLP like MSX1, TGFB3, TGFA, RARA, MTHFR, GABRB3, BCL3, WNT and the list is ever increasing with the latest development in this field.\(^1,3,4,5,6,7,8\)

There are various techniques that have been employed in the genetic investigations for NSCLP, the purpose of this review is to present and compare their utility.

2. Animal studies

The mouse model is the most commonly used model, because of “its small size, short reproductive cycle, known genetic information, and, most importantly, because of the ability to introduce precise genetic alterations into mouse embryonic stem cells and transfer them to stable mouse lines” \(^9\). There are many approaches to study NSCLP using animal models. Various inbred mice strain models show spontaneous clefting like A/WySn, A/J, A/HeJ.\(^10\) The teratogenic effect was also evaluated in few studies that indicated greater frequenting of clefting in the ICR and A/J mice strain. It is interesting to note that A/J strain showed spontaneous clefting but the incidence of clefting increased with the effect of teratogen.\(^11,12\)

To determine that a gene contributes to the clefting, targeted gene studies can be done in mouse models. Various knockout models were developed for target genes. If we see for MSX1 gene such knockout models have shown a role of MSX1 gene in normal development of the palate and all homozygotes for MSX1 show multiple craniofacial anomalies including cleft lip/palate.\(^13,14\) In similar studies TGFB3 null mutant mice showed cleft of the palate, however unlike other models there were no other craniofacial anomalies.\(^15,16\) A study with mice deficient for IRF6 showed craniofacial developmental abnormalities including orofacial clefts and other skin and lung anomalies.\(^17\) This type of targeted gene approach has been useful to study target genes for non Syndromal cleft lip/palate in mice and as homologous genes on humans are known, significant correlation can be established with various pathological conditions. This approach has been very useful and it has provided information regarding gene function but concerns have been raised about implication of these results to human. In a detailed review Thyagarajan et al\(^9\) described the controversy in following headings: “Genetic background, Early lethality of animals, Differences in the life spans of humans and mice, Interference by a selection marker gene, Compensatory genetic loci, Functional redundancy in the in vivo and in vitro conditions.”

3. Linkage studies and linkage disequilibrium test on human subjects

Linkage studies are very useful in analyzing a particular allele for a genetic disease. The linkage disequilibrium test, a modified form of linkage study overcomes the disadvantages of linkage studies in that “it only considers parents who are heterozygous for the marker allele that is considered responsible for the pathology, so it does not need data from multiple affected family members or unaffected siblings.” In this regard it is worth mentioning a metaanalysis by Marazita et al\(^{19}\) which combined the results of 13 studies on different population subgroups. The results indicated that six regions on five chromosomes had significant linkage with HLOD>3.2.
(chromosomes 1p12-13, 6p23, 6q23-25, 9q21, 14q21-24, and 15q15). These loci can be used to identify or screen the candidate genes that may be associated with NSCLP. A study on four different populations showed a significant linkage with respect to 4p16 region. This study also suggested that other than MSX1, other genes in this region can also influence risk of orofacial clefts. Two recent studies also suggest 6p24 and 6q as important regions influencing cleft risk in humans.

The disadvantages of Linkage studies is that they require large multiplex families and it is difficult to study diseases like NSCLP which has shown to be a polygenic disorder with several regions and even after identification of the chromosomal region, it is very difficult to identify a particular candidate gene as several genes may be present in that region.

4. Association studies

The Association studies can be either of a family based design or a case control design. The case control design is most commonly used and preferred one because of simpler methodology, easier to get cases and controls as compared to families, easier to gather a large number of samples, disease allele frequency, penetrance and attributable risk can be studied altogether. These association studies in various populations have linked MSX1, TGFb3, RARA, BCL3, TGFa, IRF6, MTHFR, RARA, GABRB3, WNT1, 3, 4, 5, 8.

There are a lot of association studies in the literature with varying results. A good example is Msx1 P147Q variant which was associated with NSCLP in a Malay, Chinese and Vietnamese population whereas there was no association detected in Thai population. Many of such studies have attributed different candidate genes in different populations. The absence of consistency in results is related to poor statistical power, biological and phenotypic complexity, population-specific linkage disequilibrium, effect-size bias, and population stratification. “Undetected population stratification has caused the most concern and is an issue for direct candidate-gene approaches”.

5. Cytogenetic studies

Cytogenetic studies are useful in detecting chromosomal aberrations. A study done on Indian patients utilizing GTC banding and Fluorescence in-situ hybridization showed that out of 10 cases, 9 cases were with normal karyotype and one was with trisomy-18. In a similar study in Kuwait, out of 56 cases only 2 with chromosomal aberration were detected, one showed 47, XYY while the other revealed partial trisomy of 22(47, XX, +22del.q 13(qter)). Alkuraya and colleagues found that a chromosomal translocation in a cleft lip/palate patient disrupts the SUMO1 gene. Later in mice they found that SUMO1 was expressed in developing lip and palate.

One of the cytogenetic technique is Array comparative genomic hybridisation (array-CGH). A study by Osoegawa et al described the utility of this technique. “Array-CGH has evolved as a method to identify and map sub-microscopic deletions/duplications simultaneously onto the genome sequence. Identification of microdeletions using array-CGH has proven to be a very powerful strategy to narrow down candidate disease gene regions for subsequent gene hunting.” Further the results of the study stated that out of 104 nonsyndromic cases there was one subject with a 3.2 Mb deletion at chromosome 6q25.1–25.2 and another with a 2.2 Mb deletion at 10q26.11–26.13. “Analyses of parental DNA demonstrated that the two deletion cases at 22q11.21 and 6q25.1–25.2 were de novo, the deletion of 10q26.11–26.13 was inherited from the mother, who also has a cleft lip”.

The problem with above mentioned cytological studies is that there is a weak evidence of chromosomal aberration in NSCLP patients; however they can serve to narrow down the hunting region for candidate genes.

6. Expression studies

This is a growing field and expression profiles in cleft lip/palate patient can provide a clue towards the genetic pathways affected leading to the identification of candidate genes.
In one of the landmark expression studies done by Mukhopadhyay et al., out of 12,000 genes studied, expression of 158 genes encoding various growth and differentiation factors was found differentially altered in murine orofacial development. The genes in this category included growth factors, growth factor receptors, cytokines, and hormones.

An expression study using gene chip analysis revealed a higher expression of osteopontin (SPPI), chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) and serglycin (PRG1) in cleft lip/palate patients supporting the role of these genes in NSCLP. The Craniofacial and Oral Gene Expression Network (COGENE) is gene expression profile database created by consortium of investigators involved in describing human gene expression research and is used for comparison in studies of craniofacial anomalies.

The expression studies have a limitation in that the expression profiles which are recorded in the patients may not be a true reflection of the expression which happened during development of the defect as the expression may have ended/ altered due to the time factor.

### 7. Syndromic CL/P cases

Although this article is about NSCLP, it is worth mentioning Syndromic form also as there is an evidence that genes associated with Syndromic form may contribute to NSCLP. Satnier and Moore have proposed TBX22, PVRL1I, IRF6, P63, MSX1, FGFR1,FOXC2, TTF2 as genes associated with both Syndromic forms and NSCLP. It would be a useful idea to study genetics of Syndromic cleft lip/palate and the results can then be screened for NSCLP. However, it is probably not as simple as that, because numerous syndromic forms of cleft lip/palate are described in the literature and to get a significant sample size is an issue, further both coding and non-coding regions can contribute to NSCLP.

### 8. Conclusion

No approach is always better than the other. Every technique has its merits and demerits. It is very important to choose the correct approach for studying the specific problem. Studies on different approaches may be clubbed together to gain important information regarding NSCLP.
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